

Comments on "On q -non-extensive statistics with non-Tsallisian entropy"

Velimir M. Ilić^{a,*}, Miomir S. Stanković^b

^aMathematical Institute of the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Kneza Mihaila 36, 11000 Beograd, Serbia

^bUniversity of Niš, Faculty of Occupational Safety, Černojevića 10a, 18000 Niš, Serbia

Abstract

Recently, in [P. Jizba and J. Korbel, Physica A 444, 2016, 808827], four generalized Shannon-Khinchin [GSK] axioms have been proposed and a generalized entropy which uniquely satisfies the GSK axioms has been derived. In this comment, we show that the unique class of the entropies derived in the aforementioned paper is not correct, as it violates the fourth GSK axiom, and we derive the correct one. Nevertheless, the class of entropies proposed in the commented paper still can serve as a basis for generalized statistical mechanics. We propose a new axiomatic system which characterizes the class of entropies.

Keywords: Shannon-Khinchin axioms, Information measures, Generalized entropy.

1. Preliminary notions

The set of positive real numbers will be denoted with $\mathbb{R}^+$ and the set of nonnegative real numbers will be denoted with $\mathbb{R}_0^+$. The set of all n -dimensional distributions will be denoted with

$$\Delta_n \equiv \left\{ (p_1, \dots, p_n) \mid p_k \in \mathbb{R}_0^+, \sum_{k=1}^n p_k = 1 \right\}; \quad n > 1. \quad (1)$$

For $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Delta_n$ and $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Delta_m$, a *direct product*, $P \star Q \in \Delta_{nm}$ is defined as

$$P \star Q = (p_1 q_1, p_1 q_2, \dots, p_n q_m). \quad (2)$$

Let in the following $q \in \mathbb{R}^+$. For a distribution $P \in \Delta_n$, we define a q -escort distribution $P^{(q)} \in \Delta_n$ with

$$P^{(q)} = (p_1^{(q)}, \dots, p_n^{(q)}); \quad p_k^{(q)} = \frac{p_k^q}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^q}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (3)$$

A $\oplus_q$ -addition [9] is defined with

$$u \oplus_q v = u + v + (1 - q)uv; \quad u, v \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4)$$

Let us define an increasing, continuous, and invertible function $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the inverse mapping $h^{-1} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{for } q = 1 \\ \frac{2^{(1-q)x} - 1}{1 - q}, & \text{for } q \neq 1 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow h^{-1}(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{for } q = 1 \\ \frac{1}{1 - q} \log_2((1 - q)x + 1), & \text{for } q \neq 1 \end{cases}. \quad (5)$$

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +38118224492; fax: +38118533014.

Email addresses: velimir.ilic@gmail.com (Velimir M. Ilić), miomir.stankovic@gmail.com (Miomir S. Stanković)

Then, the following equality holds:

$$h(x + y) = h(x) \oplus_q h(y), \quad h^{-1}(x \oplus_q y) = h^{-1}(x) + h^{-1}(y); \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (6)$$

2. On the generalized Shannon-Khinchin axioms from [7] and a corresponding unique class of entropies

A generalized entropy is characterized as a unique function $\mathcal{D}_n : \Delta_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$, which for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > 1$ satisfies the following generalization of the Shannon-Khinchin axioms [8]:

[A1] $\mathcal{D}_n$ is continuous in Δ_n ;

[A2] $\mathcal{D}_n$ takes its largest value for the uniform distribution, $U_n = (1/n, \dots, 1/n) \in \Delta_n$, i.e. $\mathcal{D}_n(P) \leq \mathcal{D}_n(U_n)$, for any $P \in \Delta_n$;

[A3] $\mathcal{D}_n$ is expandable: $\mathcal{D}_{n+1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, 0) = \mathcal{D}_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ for all $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Delta_n$;

[A4] Let $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Delta_n$, $PQ = (r_{11}, r_{12}, \dots, r_{nm}) \in \Delta_{nm}$, $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > 1$ such that $p_i = \sum_{j=1}^m r_{ij}$, and $Q_{ji} = (q_{1ji}, \dots, q_{mji}) \in \Delta_m$, where $q_{ji} = r_{ij}/p_i$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is some fixed parameter. Then,

$$\mathcal{D}_{nm}(PQ) = \mathcal{D}_n(P) \oplus_q \mathcal{D}_m(Q|P), \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{D}_m(Q|P) = f_h^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(q)} f_h(\mathcal{D}_m(Q_{ji})) \right), \quad (7)$$

where f_h is an invertible continuous function.

The axiomatic system [A1]-[A4] was first presented in [6] and latter on in [7], where a class of entropies is proposed as the unique one which satisfies the axioms, as it is summarized in Theorem 2.1. However, the proposed unique solution of the axiomatic system is not correct, as it violates the axiom [A4]. We comment on this in Remark 2.2. After that, in Theorem 2.3, we derive the correct unique solution of [A1]-[A4].

Theorem 2.1. (Incorrect, from Appendix in [7]) The class of entropies which uniquely satisfies [A1]-[A4] is given by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n(P) = \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n(P) = h \left(- \sum_{k=1}^n p_k^{(q)} \log_2 p_k \right) = \begin{cases} - \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \log_2 p_k, & \text{for } q = 1 \\ \frac{1}{1-q} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n p_k^{(q-1)p_q^{(q)}} - 1 \right) & \text{for } q \neq 1. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Remark 2.2. (Incorrectness of Theorem 2.1) In the proof of the theorem from [7] it is (incorrectly) assumed that $\mathcal{D}_n(P)$ has the form

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n(P) = f_h^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k^{(q)} f_h(h(\tau \log_2 p_k)) \right), \quad \tau < 0, \quad (9)$$

and, as a consequence, f_h is found as a linear function of h^{-1} , which leads to the class of entropies (8), which violates [A4]. To see this, let us rewrite [A4] as

$$h \left(- \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m r_{ij}^{(q)} \log_2 r_{ij} \right) = h \left(- \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(q)} \log_2 p_i \right) \oplus_q h \left(- \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(q)} \sum_{j=1}^m q_{ji}^{(q)} \log_2 q_{ji} \right). \quad (10)$$

After applying the mapping h^{-1} to the equation (10), and according to (6), we obtain

$$- \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m r_{ij}^{(q)} \log_2 r_{ij} = - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(q)} \log_2 p_i - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(q)} \sum_{j=1}^m q_{ji}^{(q)} \log_2 q_{ji}. \quad (11)$$

The equation (11) does not hold, in general, for every q . To check this, let $q = 2$ and

$$P = (p_1, p_2) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{so that} \quad \begin{aligned} Q_{|1} &= (q_{1|1}, q_{2|1}) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ Q_{|2} &= (q_{1|2}, q_{2|2}) = (1, 0) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where we have used $q_{j|i} = r_{ij}/p_i$. Note that if $V = (1/2, 1/2)$ or $V = (1, 0)$, then V is equal to its escort distribution, $V = V^{(q)}$, so that $P^{(q)} = P$, $Q_{|1}^{(q)} = Q_{|1}$ and $Q_{|2}^{(q)} = Q_{|2}$ and

$$(p_1^{(q)}, p_2^{(q)}) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad \begin{pmatrix} r_{11}^{(q)} & r_{12}^{(q)} \\ r_{21}^{(q)} & r_{22}^{(q)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2+2^q} & \frac{1}{2+2^q} \\ \frac{2^q}{2+2^q} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{2}{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{aligned} (q_{1|1}^{(q)}, q_{2|1}^{(q)}) &= \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ (q_{1|2}^{(q)}, q_{2|2}^{(q)}) &= (1, 0) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Therefore, we have:

$$-\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m r_{ij}^{(q)} \log_2 r_{ij} = -\frac{1}{6} \log_2 \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{6} \log_2 \frac{1}{4} - \frac{2}{3} \log_2 \frac{1}{2} = \frac{4}{3} \quad (14)$$

and

$$-\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(q)} \log_2 p_i - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(q)} \sum_{j=1}^m q_{j|i}^{(q)} \log_2 q_{j|i} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0 = \frac{3}{2}, \quad (15)$$

and the equality (11) does not hold, which means that entropy form from [7] violates the axiom [A4].

In the following theorem, we fix the mistake by determining the correct unique class of functions which satisfies [A1]-[A4], and the class of functions which f_h belongs to.

Theorem 2.3. (Correction to Theorem 2.1) *The class of entropies which uniquely satisfies [A1]-[A4] is given by the following class:*

$$\mathcal{D}_n(P) = \begin{cases} \tau \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \log_2 p_k, & \text{for } q = 1 \\ \frac{1}{1-q} \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k^q \right)^{-\tau} - 1 \right), & \text{for } q \neq 1 \end{cases}, \quad \tau < 0. \quad (16)$$

and f_h has the form :

$$f_h(x) = \begin{cases} \tau x, & \text{for } q = 1 \\ ((1-q)x + 1)^{-\frac{1}{\tau}}, & \text{for } q \neq 1 \end{cases}, \quad \tau < 0, \quad (17)$$

or any linear function of (17).

Proof. Let us define $\mathcal{N}_n = h^{-1} \circ \mathcal{D}_n$ and $f = f_h \circ h$, where $\circ$ denotes the composition of functions. Then, [A1]-[A4] can equivalently be written as:

[B1] $\mathcal{N}_n$ is continuous in Δ_n ;

[B2] $\mathcal{N}_n$ takes its largest value for the uniform distribution, $U_n = (1/n, \dots, 1/n) \in \Delta_n$, i.e. $\mathcal{N}_n(P) \leq \mathcal{N}_n(U_n)$, for any $P \in \Delta_n$;

[B3] $\mathcal{N}_n$ is expandable: $\mathcal{N}_{n+1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, 0) = \mathcal{N}_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ for all $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Delta_n$;

[B4] Let $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Delta_n$, $PQ = (r_{11}, r_{12}, \dots, r_{nm}) \in \Delta_{nm}$, $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > 1$ such that $p_i = \sum_{j=1}^m r_{ij}$, and $Q_{|i} = (q_{1|i}, \dots, q_{m|i}) \in \Delta_m$, where $q_{ji} = r_{ij}/p_i$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$ is some fixed parameter. Then,

$$\mathcal{N}_{nm}(PQ) = \mathcal{N}_n(P) + \mathcal{N}_m(Q|P), \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{N}_m(Q|P) = f^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(q)} f(\mathcal{N}_m(Q_{|i})) \right), \quad (18)$$

where f is an invertible continuous function.

As shown in [5], a function $\mathcal{N}_n : \Delta_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ satisfies [B1]-[B4] for all $n > 1$, iff it belongs to the following class:

$$\mathcal{N}_n(P) = \begin{cases} \tau \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \log_2 p_k, & \text{for } q = 1 \\ \frac{\tau}{q-1} \log_2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k^q \right), & \text{for } q \neq 1 \end{cases}, \quad \tau < 0. \quad (19)$$

Consequently, a function $\mathcal{D}_n = h \circ \mathcal{N}_n$ satisfies [A1]-[A4] iff it belongs to the class $\mathcal{D}_n = h(\mathcal{N}_n(P))$, which is given by (16).

The form of the function f_h can be found as follows. By substituting of the expression (19) to the axiom [B4], we can obtain

$$\mathcal{N}_m(Q|P) = \mathcal{N}_{nm}(PQ) - \mathcal{N}_n(P) = \frac{\tau}{q-1} \log_2 \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(q)} \sum_{j=1}^m q_{ji}^q = f^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(q)} f(\mathcal{N}_m(Q_{|i})) \right), \quad (20)$$

where f is the function from the class parameterized by $q, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \tau x, & \text{for } q = 1 \\ 2^{\frac{(q-1)x}{\tau}}, & \text{for } q \neq 1 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow f^{-1}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{\tau}, & \text{for } q = 1 \\ \frac{\tau \log_2 x}{q-1}, & \text{for } q \neq 1. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{D}_m = h \circ \mathcal{N}_m$ so that

$$\mathcal{D}_m(Q|P) = f_h^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(q)} f_h^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_m(Q_{|i})) \right) = h(\mathcal{N}_m(Q|P)) = (f \circ h^{-1})^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(q)} f \circ h^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_m(Q_{|i})) \right). \quad (22)$$

Therefore, f_h and $f \circ h^{-1}$ generate the same quasi-linear mean, and according to a well known result from mean theory [3], f_h is a linear function of $f \circ h^{-1}$, which proves the theorem. $\square$

Remark 2.4. The generalized entropy $\mathcal{D}_n$ can also be represented as

$$\mathcal{D}_n(P) = g_h^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k^{(q)} g_h(h(\tau \log_2 p_k)) \right), \quad \tau < 0, \quad (23)$$

where g_h has a form:

$$g_h(x) = \begin{cases} \tau x, & \text{for } q = 1 \\ ((1-q)x + 1)^{\frac{1}{q}}, & \text{for } q \neq 1, \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

or any linear function of (24). Therefore, the assumption (9) made in [7], is valid only in the case when g_h is linear function of f_h , which can be satisfied for $q = 1$, i.e. in the case of Shannon entropy.

Remark 2.5. If an additional normalization axiom is added to [A1]-[A4]:

$$[A5] \mathcal{D}_n(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) = h(1),$$

then $\tau = -1$ and the entropy (16) reduces to Tsallis entropy [10] and the function f_h is a linear function of x . This agrees with the result presented by Abe in [1], who has shown that if f_h is linear, then [A1]-[A4] uniquely characterize Tsallis entropy. In other words, if the axiomatic system from [7], is extended with the axiom [A5], then it is equivalent to Abe's axiomatic system.

Remark 2.6. The axiomatic system [A1]-[A4] and the corresponding unique class of entropies can further be generalized if the conditional entropy in the axiom [A4] is taken with respect to the escort distribution $(p_1^{(\alpha)}, \dots, p_n^{(\alpha)})$, where $\alpha > 0$ is an additional parameter (not necessarily equal to q). The interested reader is referred to our previous paper [5] for this generalization.

3. On the axiomatic characterization of generalized entropy proposed in [7]

The entropy $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n(P)$ proposed in (8) does not follow generalized Shannon-Khinchin axiom [A4] since the conditional entropy $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n(Q|P)$ cannot be represented as a quasi-linear mean (7), so that [A4] is preserved. On the other hand, the unconditional entropy $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n(P)$ can still serve as a basis for a generalization of statistical mechanics, as it was elaborated in [7]. In the following text, we propose an axiomatic system which characterizes the entropy $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n(P)$, and give a further justification for its use.

First, recall that the entropy (8) can be represented as $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n(P) = h(\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_n(P))$, where

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_n(P) = - \sum_{k=1}^n p_k^{(q)} \log_2 p_k \quad (25)$$

is Aczél-Daróczy entropy [2]. It is easy to show that for any $P, Q \in \Delta_n$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_n(P \star Q) = \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_n(P) + \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_n(Q). \quad (26)$$

By applying the map h to both sides of the equality (26) and using $h(a + b) = h(a) \oplus_q h(b)$, we obtain

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n(P \star Q) = \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n(P) \oplus_q \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n(Q), \quad (27)$$

which means that the axiom [A4] is satisfied in the case of independent probability distributions.

The relation (27) can be used as a basis for a characterization of the entropy $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n$. Let us characterize a generalized entropy as a unique function $\mathcal{G}_n : \Delta_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$, which for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > 1$ satisfies the following axioms:

[C1] The information content $I : (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a continuous monotonically increasing function, which is $\oplus_q$ -additive:

$$I(ab) = I(a) \oplus_q I(b), \quad \text{for all } a, b \in (0, 1]. \quad (28)$$

[C2] $\mathcal{G}_n$ is a quasi-linear mean of the information content

$$\mathcal{G}(P) = f^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k^{(q)} \cdot f(I(p_k)) \right), \quad (29)$$

for all $P \in \Delta_n$, where f is invertible and continuous.

[C3] $\mathcal{G}_n$ is composable:

$$\mathcal{G}_n(P \star Q) = \mathcal{G}_n(P) \oplus_q \mathcal{G}_n(Q), \quad (30)$$

for all $P, Q \in \Delta_n$.

[C4] Normalization: $\mathcal{G}_n\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = h(1)$.

Based on a result from [4] we can easily find a class of entropies which uniquely satisfies [C1]-[C4]. According to the following theorem, the class of entropies is even wider than $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n$, it depends on two parameters, q and λ , and contains $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n$ as a special case for $\lambda = 0$.

Theorem 3.1. The class of functions which uniquely satisfies [C1]-[C4] is given by

$$\mathcal{G}_n(P) = \begin{cases} h\left(-\sum_{k=1}^n p_k^{(q)} \log_2 p_k\right), & \lambda = 0 \\ h\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \log_2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k^{(q)} p_k^{-\lambda}\right)\right), & \lambda \neq 0 \end{cases}. \quad (31)$$

Proof. The theorem can be proven as a special case of the theorem from [4], where the quasi-linear mean (29) is not taken with respect to the escort distribution $P^{(a)}$, but with respect to an arbitrary distribution U . According to Theorem 2.1. from [4], the axiomatic system [C1]-[C3] is uniquely described by the following class:

$$\mathcal{G}_n(P) = \begin{cases} h\left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k^{(q)} \log_2 p_k^\tau\right), & \lambda = 0 \\ h\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \log_2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k^{(q)} p_k^{\tau\lambda}\right)\right), & \lambda \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{where } \tau < 0. \quad (32)$$

If we impose the additional axiom [C4], then $\tau = -1$, and the result follows.

References

- [1] Sumiyoshi Abe. Axioms and uniqueness theorem for Tsallis entropy. *Physics Letters A*, 271(1–2):74 – 79, 2000.
- [2] J. Aczél and Z. Daróczy. "Über verallgemeinerte quasilineare Mittelwerte, die mit Gewichtsfunktionen gebildet sind. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 10:171190, 1963.
- [3] G. H. Hardy, George Polya, and J. E. Littlewood. *Inequalities*, by G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood [and] G. Polya. The University press, Cambridge [Eng.], 1934.
- [4] Velimir M. Ilić and Miomir S. Stanković. A unified characterization of generalized information and certainty measures. *ArXiv e-prints*, October 2013.
- [5] Velimir M. Ilić and Miomir S. Stanković. Generalized Shannon-Khinchin axioms and uniqueness theorem for pseudo-additive entropies. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 411:138 – 145, 2014.
- [6] Petr Jizba and Toshihico Arimitsu. Generalized statistics: yet another generalization. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 340(13):110 – 116, 2004. News and Expectations in Thermostatistics.
- [7] Petr Jizba and Jan Korbel. On q -non-extensive statistics with non-tsallisian entropy. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 444:808 – 827, 2016.
- [8] A. I. Khinchin. *Mathematical Foundations of Information Theory*. Dover Publications, June 1957.
- [9] L. Nivonen, A. Le Méhauté, and Q. A. Wang. Generalized algebra within a nonextensive statistics. *Reports on Mathematical Physics*, 52(3):437–444, December 2003.
- [10] Constantino Tsallis. Possible generalization of Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics. *Journal of statistical physics*, 52(1):479–487, 1988.